# Headquarters U. S. Air Force

#### Integrity - Service - Excellence

# **Operational Thread Development**



Mr. Scott Hamilton, <u>scott.hamilton.ctr@langley.af.mil</u> Mr. William Solterbeck, <u>william.solterbeck.ctr@langley.af.mil</u> Mr. Jean Wright, <u>jean.wright.ctr@langley.af.mil</u> Air Force Experimentation Office Hampton, VA Version 1 13 Feb 06







- Background
- Analysis Framework
- Operational Thread Development Process
- Online Toolset



## Background Benefit

#### Standardized approach

- Facilitates cross-team collaboration
- Consistent terminology usage
- Improves experiment design
- Supports joint and service capability-based assessment framework
- Analysis support
  - Core analysts will assist in application of this approach
- Phased approach
  - Manageable workload for CDTs
  - Clearly identified milestones for thread development products



## **Background** Purpose of Operational Threads

- Facilitate examining a potential improvement to a deficient capability
  - The contribution of one or more initiatives or improved infrastructure either through
    - a new process or modification to an existing process
    - a new organizational construct
    - a new system level (i.e., "machine-to-machine") dialogue between databases, applications, or hardware
- Allow us to influence player activity (by tailoring scenario events) to ensure we are able to demonstrate capability goals
- Provide operational context and therefore relevance
  - When reporting results (of initiatives, capability goals, anything else)

Identify the contribution of initiatives to operationally significant activities and processes (i.e., operational threads)

Integrity - Service - Excellence



## Analysis Framework Basic Definitions

- Capability: The ability to achieve a desired effect under specified standards and conditions through combinations of means and ways to perform a set of tasks (CJCSI 3170.01E) Inherent to a capability are the organizations and people, processes and technical means used to accomplish a military task or mission. Standard AF capabilities are found in the Master Capability Library Version 5.5.
- Task: A discrete event or action—not specific to a single unit, weapon system, or individual—that enables a mission or function to be accomplished—by individuals or organizations (AF Doctrine Center glossary.) Standard C2 tasks are found in the C2 Task List developed by the C2 Capability Assessment Team.
- Initiative: Any potential solution—across the DOTMLPF spectrum—for addressing a recognized warfighting need or capability gap. Initiatives result from the JEFX initiative selection process.
- Capability Gaps: The inability to achieve a desired effect under specified standards and conditions through combinations of means and ways to perform a set of tasks (CJCSI 3170.01E). Synonymous with capability "deficiency"





# **Analysis Framework** Operational Thread Definition

Definition: A series of operational tasks that relate initiatives and/or improved infrastructure systems to one or more C2 processes

### Characteristics

- A design feature of the experiment; used by Capability Development Teams to assess contribution of potential solutions to capability gaps
- Should be represented by an operational architecture and supporting systems views; facilitates transition within the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development System (JCIDS) (OV-6C)
- Observable and measurable; defines specifically what the assessment team will examine



# Analysis Framework Required Elements

- Name & Identifier: Uniquely identifies the thread (e.g., "01A: Joint Air Estimate Process")
- Description: Description of the capability deficiency or gap this operational thread will examine
- Operational Tasks: Related C2 & ISR tasks and player activities
- Measures: Characterize the performance of tasks and overall effectiveness of the operational thread
- Initiatives: Initiatives (and innovations) that contribute to the thread (i.e., potential "solutions")



## **Development Process** Operational Thread Integration

- Provides a framework to view the interrelationship among operational threads
- Incorporates most operational threads, but not everything
  - Homeland Security threads do not fit this construct
  - Some Constellation Net specific threads do not fit
  - Possibly others that do not fit (e.g., SOF specific)
- Based on Joint Publication 3-30, Command and Control for Joint Air Operations
  - Air Tasking Cycle



## Development Process Joint Air Tasking Cycle



Figure III-13. Joint Air Tasking Cycle

Integrity - Service - Excellence



Integrity - Service - Excellence



- Identify the specific capability gap or deficiency in sufficient detail to ...
  - Facilitate call for initiatives
  - Determine measures of success that are associated with each gap
- Focus of CDC
  - Should be complete; reflected in the sub-capability goal statements and documentation
  - Adding or revising capability gaps at this point affects all follow-on activities
- Example
  - There is currently a deficiency in our ability to receive real-time data from organizations external to the AOC and perform Level 0 fusion on that data.



- Identify a series of operational tasks (i.e., an operational thread) that allow examination of each capability gap
  - Begin at a high level (e.g., 1. Find, 2. Fix, 3. Track, 4. Target, 5. Engage, 6. Assess)
  - Add details and supporting activities over time, as required
  - Player-operator participation is essential
- Reference the Master Capabilities Library, Functional Area Assessment, AOC functional decomposition, AFOTTP, functional area CONOPS & CONEMPS
- Most difficult step—but the most critical
- Example
  - 1. Remote sensors collect intelligence
  - 2. AOC ISR-D receives INT data from remote nodes
  - 3. ISR-D performs Level 0 fusion
  - 4. ISR-D provides fused INT product to end user (plans & ops)
  - 5. End user acts on information



## Development Process Measure Development

#### Identify measures for each task

 Measures of performance for each task and measures of effectiveness for each operational thread

#### Refine measures of success

- Developed at CDC
- Characterize success in achieving capability goals
- Example (for Task 2—receive INT data)
  - Timeliness of receipt of INT information (time lag from send to receive)
  - Diversity of INT received (# and type of sources providing INT data)
  - Diversity of nodes providing INT (# and location of remote nodes)



**Development Process** 

**Identify Initiative Contribution** 

- Identify the contribution each initiative will make to the operational tasks
  - Could lead to additional measures
  - Initiatives may contribute to many operational threads and associated tasks

#### Coordinate with 46TS Det 1

- Lead for technical assessment of initiatives
- Develop "initiative core capabilities" for each initiative

#### Example (for Task 2—receive INT data)

Initiative X will improve the timeliness of receipt of INT from remote nodes through improved M2M connection



#### Identify specific data collection requirements

- Based on pre-identified measures (MOE and MOP)
- Collectively, represents an Integrated Data Requirements List (IDRL)
- Example



## **Development Process** Considerations

- Planning or execution? (Temporal focus)
- Inter-theater or intra-theater? (Geographic focus)
- Where do initiatives fit into this thread?
  - How do they support operational tasks?
- What measures are relevant?
  - Based on Network Centric Operations Conceptual Framework Version 2.0
- What scenario supports this thread?
  - Real-world or fictitious?
  - What scenario vignettes are required?
- Operational threads should be prioritized
- Expectation: 15-20 operational threads total



# **Backups**

Integrity - Service - Excellence