Rapid Simulation Evaluation from Scenario Specifications for Command and Control Systems Ray Paul DoD OSD NII

Real-Time Distributed Network-Centric Warfare

- System modeling and simulation from the system requirement important for network-centric warfare
- System modeling and simulation are usually expensive for real-time distributed network-centric warfare
- Many specification and simulation packages are available such as SDL that can model and simulate the target system from requirements. But they are often design-oriented.
- Our scenario-driven system engineering approach provides a way to perform system modeling and simulation rapidly based on system requirements.

Comparisons Between SDL and Scenario ACDATE Model

Comparison		ACDATE	SDL/TTCN		
Equivalence	Essence	High-level system description			
	Approach	Requirement engineering			
Difference Fundamental		Scenario oriented	Object oriented		
	Technique				
	Intuitive	More intuitive	Less intuitive		
	Feature				
	Code	Partial code	Complete code		
	Generation				
	Components	Actor, Condition, Data,	Structure,		
		Action, Timing, Event	Communication,		
			Behavior, Data,		
			Inheritance		
	Simulation	Non-real code based	Real code based		
		simulation	simulation		
	Testing	Test cases generation	Test script generation		
	UML	Class diagram, Sequence	UML compatible		
	Relation	diagram			
	MDA	Unavailable	Available		
	Support				
	Goal	Ensure no errors in	Generate real time		
		requirements	applications		
	V&V	Convenient to support	Not focus on this		
	Support	V&V			

SDSE and Command & Control Systems

- Future Command and Control (C2) systems need to operate within an integrated grid-based network-centric environment (GIG) that allows rapid decision development and evaluation to meet the challenges of modern agile warfighting.
- A Scenario-Driven System Engineering (SDSE) approach is proposed to develop, evaluate, and test C2 systems
- Once system scenarios are specified, the system can be simulated without any programming and thus saves significant effort and time.

SDSE Features

- Compatible with the Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) to develop trustworthy systems
- Can be used to specify and analyze system behaviors in an SOA.
- Core: scenario specification and analyses based on ACDATE model.

ACDATE Model

- <u>A</u>ctors An actor is either an external user, system or device, or an internal system, device, component or object;
- <u>C</u>onditions A condition is a predicate used to trigger an action;
- <u>Data</u> Attributes of actor, and presenting the semantic of condition, event and action
- <u>A</u>ctions Specified by the trigger event, guard condition, the way to change the status of actors, and sent event(s) to some actors
- <u>Timing</u> A semantic statement about the relative or absolute value of time or duration
- <u>Events</u> External/internal significant occurrences that may trigger action(s)

2004-5-22

A Sample Scenario



A scenario "when driver door is locked and passenger door is locked, if remote controlled is pressed unlock, then the driver door is open" can be specified using Scenario Specification Language as above

Analyses based on ACDATE/Scenario Model

- Based on the ACDATE/Scenario model, a variety of static and dynamic (via simulation) analyses can be performed:
 - Completeness and consistency analysis
 - Performance evaluation
 - Safety analysis
 - Behavior analysis
 - Policy specification and enforcement
- The SDSE is to be integrated and supported by an automated tool E2E that is currently being used in several experimental projects by US Navy

Scenario Tool Input Interface



Static C&C Analysis

- Once the system ACDATE/Scenario model is ready, one can easily using our automated tool to perform completeness and consistency analysis to see if there is any problem in system modeling
- Static C&C analysis can discover a large amount of incompleteness and inconsistency problems that are hard for engineers to detect

Static C&C Analysis Tool



Experiment Results of Static C&C Results

Systems	Туре	Experimental/	# of	# of	# of Missing	# of	Whole/
		Industrial	Scenarios	Conds.	C-E	Covering	Partial
					Combination	Scenarios	System
Car Alarm	Centralized		13	12	547	40	Whole
Systems	application.	Experimental					
Banking System	Distributed		23	2	0	0	Whole
	application						
ICS	Distributed		140	15	396800	29	Whole
	real-time						
	application.						
RCS	Distributed	Γ	54	10	1792	4	Partial
	real-time						
	application.	Industrial					
LDRS	Distributed		10	19	1966080	8	Whole
	real-time						
	application.						
Communication	Embedded		31	33	1.29 * 10 ¹¹	27	Partial
Processor	distributed						
	application						

Scenario-Based Simulation Architecture

- Scenario-based simulation is divided into two major parts
 - Environment Simulator
 - The behavior of the environment
 - Impact of the system to

its environment

- System Simulator
 - Target system
 behavior



2004-5-22

Rationale for Separating Environment And System Simulation

- It offers the opportunity to observe the behavior of the system under testing (SUT) under different loads by varying the environment simulator
- Robustness, reliability and scalability of the system can be determined by generating various inputs to drive the system
 - Generating an incorrect input can evaluate the system's robustness
 - Generating the input according to the operational profile will determine the system's reliability
 - Generating inputs of various sizes to determine the system scalability

Simulation Engine Architecture



Simulation Code Generation

- The simulation code is generated based on the scenario specification, which includes the ACDATE definition and scenario description
- Each ACDATE model element will be translated to an object with the attributes defined in the specification
- Instrumentation code will be inserted to the objects to interface with the monitor and policy checker
- Each scenario will be translated to a procedure that is basically a sequence of operations on the ACDATE objects or emitting events.
- With these automated simulation code generation, previously Excel-spreadsheet based real-time distributed network-centric C2 systems can be simulated without any additional programming effort saving significant effort. 2004-5-22

Simulation Code Generation – Sample Scenario



A scenario "when driver door is locked and passenger door is locked, if remote competent is pressed unlock, then the driver door is open" can be specified using 7 Scenario Specification Language as above

Simulation Code Generation Example – Generated Code

scenario_5 = function(co_routine_name, platform) // a scenario
coroutine.yield(); // interface to scheduler ...

if (condition_11.Eval() || condition_17.Eval()) //condition evaluation then

```
action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform); // interface to policy //checker embedded here
```

```
action_10_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // turn on alarm action_10:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
```

```
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit; // advance and record system // time ...
```

```
action_17: action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform);
action_17_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // beep once
action_17:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit;
```

else

```
action_20: action_10:before_do(co_routine_name, platform);
action_20_dummy_func(co_routine_name, platform); // beep three times
action_20:after_do(co_routine_name, platform);
timer[platform] = timer[platform] + unit;
ad
```

end

Sample Simulation Result



Dynamic Analyses Performed Based on Simulation

- Once simulation is performed, variety kinds of analyses can be carried out based on simulation, both runtime and off-line:
 - Policy specification and enforcement
 - Dynamic C&C analysis
 - Performance analysis
 - Safety analysis
 - Behavior analysis

Policy Specification and Enforcement

- One can specify kinds of policies in the system ACDATE/Scenario model using our automated scenario tool
- Once policies are specified, simulation can dynamically check and enforce the specified policies at runtime.
- Any policy violation will be reported and recorded in a log file.

Policy Specification

- Policy 2: Supporting Arms Coordinator (SAC) must NOT issue a Fire Order if SOF Team has not laid down
- Specification

2004-5-22

	L Policy spe	cification					
Polic	y						
	Policy Name	Policy02					
	Policy Type	Must Not Do	~				
	Policy Actor	ESSE_SAC	~				
	Policy Action	Vig3_A_IssueFireOrder	~				Analyze
	Policy Data		~				,
Pol	licy Condition	Vig3_SOFTeamIsNotLainDowr	י 👻				Update
C	Compensation	DoNothing	~				Cancel
		ROOT	~				
Poli	icy Hierarchy	1001				× [Delete
Poli	icy Hierarchy					× C	Delete
Poli ID	icy Hierarchy Type	Actor	Data/Statu:	s Action		Condition	Delete
Poli ID 858	Type Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu	eCFFCommand	Vig3_TargetIs	Delete NotFound
Poli ID 858 842	Type Must Not Must Do	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence	eCFFCommand	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE	Delete NotFound
Poli ID 858 842 847	Type Must Not Must Do Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Issu	eCFFCommand eFireOrder	Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTear	Delete NotFound
Poli ID 858 842 847 865	Type Must Not Must Do Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_McC	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTeat Vig3_CTFIsNo	Delete NotFound mIsNotLai
Poli ID 858 842 847 865 850	Type Must Not Must Do Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTeat Vig3_SOFTeat Vig3_SOFTeat	Delete NotFound mIsNotLai tApprove mIsWithin
Poli ID 858 842 847 865 850 851	Type Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC System	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu Sequence	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTeal Vig3_SOFTeal Vig3_SOFTeal TRUE	Delete NotFound mIsNotLai tApprove mIsWithin
Poli ID 858 842 847 865 850 851 851	Type Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC System USS_CHANCELLORS_VILLE	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Ville Vig3_A_Ville	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder RejectMission	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTear Vig3_SOFTear TRUE Vig3_Chancell Vig3_Chancell	Delete NotFound ISNotLai ItApprove MISWithin
Poli ID 858 842 847 865 850 851 854 854 860 961	Type Must Not Must Do Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC System USS_CHANCELLORS_VILLE ESSE_SAC SOE_Obcorver	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Villet Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder RejectMission eFireOrder	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_SOFTeat Vig3_SOFTeat TRUE Vig3_Chancell Vig3_Chancell Vig3_CFFISNO	Delete NotFound INOtFound MISNOTLai Defective MISWithin InsvilleCa
Poli ID 858 842 865 850 851 854 860 861	Type Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System ESSE_SAC USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC System USS_CHANCELLORS_VILLE ESSE_SAC SOF_Observer	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Villet Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Obs	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder RejectMission eFireOrder erveTargetStatus	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_COFTeat Vig3_SOFTeat TRUE Vig3_COFTest Vig3_Chancell Vig3_CFFIsNo Vig3_MFRIsNo	Delete NotFound MISNotLai otApprove mIsWithin lorsvilleCa tReceived otReceive
Poli ID 858 842 865 850 851 854 860 861	Type Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not Must Not	Actor Brigade_TOC_FSO System USS_JOHN_S_MCCAIN ESSE_SAC System USS_CHANCELLORS_VILLE ESSE_SAC SOF_Observer	Data/Statu:	s Action Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_McC Vig3_A_Issu Sequence Vig3_A_Villet Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Issu Vig3_A_Obs	eCFFCommand eFireOrder lainIssueFireGu eFireOrder RejectMission eFireOrder erveTargetStatus	Y Condition Vig3_TargetIs TRUE Vig3_COFTeat Vig3_SOFTeat TRUE Vig3_COFTeat TRUE Vig3_CFFIsNo Vig3_CFFIsNo Vig3_MFRIsNo	Delete NotFound MISNotLai MApprove mIsWithin lorsvilleCa tReceived otReceive

Acceptable Scenario



Scenario Violating Policy

Items List Vig3_5C09_I	ReceiveCFF I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	SOF Team doesn't lie down before Fire Order is issued
Symbols ACDATEs Misc	do ACTION:SOF_Team.Vig3_A_Retreat do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_DetermineBestWeapon	
Old Style	do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_CheckSOFTeamOutOfTargetArea do ACTION:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_A_CheckSOFTeamLiedown emit EVENT:ESSE_SAC.Vig3_E10_IssueFireOrder	Policy violation detected
Susing Actor Condition O do Action	📮 WarningLog - Notepad	
₹♦ emit Event	File Edit Format View Help	
exec Scenario && And II Or I Not () Parentheses X Delete Auto Complete	1 5 1 15 Vig3_A_InputiargetInfo Changed 1 5 1 15 Vig3_A_sendTargetInfo changed 1 8 1 15 Vig3_A_ReadTargetInfo changed 1 11 15 Vig3_A_ReadTargetInfo changed 1 11 1 15 Vig3_A_IssueCFFcommand changed 1 16 1 15 Vig3_A_OtecksoFTeamOutofTarged 1 17 1 15 Vig3_A_ChecksoFTeamOutofTarged 1 20 3 15 Policy 847 Failed 1 20 1 15 Vig3_A_IssueFireOrder changed 1 20 1 15 Vig3_A_MakeDecision changed n 1 26 1 15 Vig3_A_NocClainIssueFireGunord n 1 32 1 15 Vig3_A_ObserveTargetStatus chand n 1 51 1 15 Vig3_A_ReadReportDestroyed chand 1 51 1 15 Vig3_A_ReadReportDestroyed chand 1 15 Vig3_A_	no data value no data value no data value d no data value tArea changed no data value anged no data value no data value o data value nged no data value er changed no data value anged no data value ged no data value
2004 5 22		
2004-3-22		Ln 16, Col 1 🥂

Dynamic C&C analysis

- Some incompleteness or inconsistency can only be observed during runtime when concurrency comes into play
- In a recent experiment with a real time networkcentric C2 system that has around 1000 entities and 120 scenarios, it takes 3 minutes to generate and execute the simulation and it detected around 200 bugs related to incompleteness or inconsistency.

Performance Analysis

- During the simulation, system time will be recorded for each action when it starts or ends, event when it is emitted or handled, and data when the value changes.
- The recorded time information can be used for performance analysis such as the throughput and delay of the system processes.

Safety Analysis

- Event sequence can be useful for safety analysis.
- By using event sequence tree and traditional event tree, one can pinpoint which system components that failed during failure analysis.

Sample Event Sequence Tree



Behavior analysis

- Reachability analysis
- State model generation
- Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) analysis
- Model checking using SPIN
- Sequence diagram generation

State Model Generation

- We can generate the state model from the result of simulation
- Information contained in one entry of the simulation result
 - Time stamp
 - Starting and ending system state
 - Action performed
 - Event that triggers the action

State Model Generation

- From information provided in the result of simulation, we can get the global state transitions and single actor state transitions
- But there is something more for the single actor state transition generation guard condition, i.e. some state transition can happen when some other actors are in certain conditions. For example, alarm can not be turned on when the driver's door is open.
- State transition for global system:
 - (starting global state) external event/triggered actions → (ending global state)
- State transition for single actor
 - (starting actor state) external event[guard condition]/triggered actions → (ending actor state)

Model Checking with SPIN

- One can generate the single actor state models automatically from simulation
- One can also generate the single actor state models from requirements or design manually
- Two sets of state models can put into SPIN to perform cross checking

Sample State Model

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	StartState	Event	GuardCondition	Actions	EndState		
2	Door Closed Not Loc	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed Not Locked	(Lock driver door) (Lock pa	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
3	Door Closed And Lo	eLockPassengerDoorWKey	No Guard	No Action	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
4	Door Closed And Lo	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed And Locked	(Beep Three Times)	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
5	Door Closed And Lo	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed And Locked	(Beep Three Times)	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
6	Door Closed And Lo	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed And Locked	(Beep Three Times)	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
7	Door Closed And Lo	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed And Locked	(Beep Three Times)	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
8	Door Closed And Lo	eLock/ArmButtonPressedWRen	Door Closed And Locked	(Beep Three Times)	Door Clos	ed And Loo	:ked
-							

This is a sample state model for the Driver's Door



Conclusion

- A systematic process to perform variety kinds of static and dynamic analyses based on scenario specification
- Once system scenarios are specified, the simulation code can be automatically generated, and the system can be simulated without any additional programming
- The simulation can be used to perform various dynamic analyses including C&C checking, safety analysis, and performance analysis. The SDSE is being integrated into an automated tool E2E.