14th Annual ICCRTS June 15–17, 2009

Model Path Analysis as a Basis for Evaluating Command and Control (C2) Workflow



Maritime Operations Center Vision & Capability

- **CNO Vision:** Establish a global network of Maritime Headquarters with Maritime Operations Centers to deliver global maritime capabilities throughout the full range of military operations.
- **Capability:** MHQ with MOC enhances the Navy's capability to command forces at the operational level of war (OLW)
 - with consistency via headquarters manned by individuals fully qualified in joint OLW staff processes
 - and enabled by globally interoperable C4I systems to deliver organizational capacity and flexibility to transition between various command roles and enhance global networking among Navy-maritime organizations

MOC facilitates more effective joint, interagency, and coalition integration





Shortfalls Today:

- Insufficient expertise in operational-level assessment, planning and execution
- Limited Navy doctrine for operational-level warfare
- Operational-level processes vary
- C4I installations vary within operational-level commands
- Deployable, distributed operations capabilities vary across NCC and numbered fleet HQs
- Lack of agility to quickly form and deploy a JTF HQ
- No enterprise-wide mechanism to achieve and maintain JTF HQ and JFMCC certifications
- No Senior Mentor Program

Uniform and agile operational-level commands will enhance joint integration and global effectiveness

United States Fleet Forces

Operational Readiness, Effectiveness, Primacy-

Maritime Operations Center Sponsor Critical Challenges – APL Role



Description

Navy's #1 priority for improving planning and execution at the Operational Level of War.

Highlights

- Identify and close Operationallevel capability gaps
- Organize, train, and equip for globally connected Maritime Operation Centers

□ Organizing around JCA

Customer

Navy – USFF/C2F, NWDC

APL Role

- MOC Project Team Lead for Force Application (Fires) Warfighting Functional Area
- □ Model way ahead for MOC-after-next
- Develop model and prototype improvements to Force Application workflow continuum



Maritime and Joint Fires Problem Statement

- Problem Statement: The Maritime/Joint Force requires the capability to dynamically command and control integrated maritime fires (horizontally and vertically) for coordination of asset allocation, fires execution, and effects assessment in a joint environment
- Areas of focus include: Joint Capability Areas; Maritime/Littoral Fires; Synchronize/Monitor/Assess
- □ APL is Exploring the Requirements
 - What is the organizational structure, processes, and toolsets for a standardized operational-level maritime fires organization?
 - What are the requirements for operational level maritime fires Command and Control (C2) to ensure compatibility with other Service/Joint components?
 - What are the technical system interface requirements that will provide cross service system compatibility and synchronization of fires?



FA/Fires C2 Workflow Project Overview

Vision and Scope of Project

Examine the specific processes, tasks and procedures for support of timely operational planning and engagements throughout the battle space and more specifically the C2 required between FOPS/COPS/FIRES/ISR/INTEL of the MOC/JFMCC, COCOM components, and the Fires asset (CSG/ESG/TLAM).

- Identify and construct core SOA components for a prototype Force Application Collaborative Information Environment (CIE) that will benefit the Joint/Maritime Force Commander at the OLW.
- Develop a conceptual design for a CIE prototype that will improve information flow in the current process.



MOC Coordinate Operational Fires

25. Coordinate Operational Fires



			Co	re Taska r	napped to	Care Proc	ess: Coon	dinate Ope	erational Fires				
Battles	pace Awa	reness	Logi	stics	0	Command	& Contro	h.	Prote	ection	Force Mgmt	Fires	Influence
0P 2.1	0P 2.8.2	0P 2.6	0P 4.2	0P 4.5.1	0P 6.1.1	0P 6.1.4	OP 5.2.2	OP 6.7	0P 8.1	OP 6.2.14	OP 1.1.1	OP 3.1.3	OP 6.6
OP 2.2.1	0P 2.8.8	0P 5.1.2	0P 4.3	0P 4.5.2	OP 5.1.10	OP 6.1.6	OP 6.3	OP 6.8	OP 6.2.2	0P 6.3	0P 1.1.2	A full meppin to	matrix ig Tasks Core
OP 2.2.3	0P 2.4		0P 4.4.1	0P 4.7.3	OP 6.1.11	0P 5.1.8	OP 6.4		OP 6.2.3	0P 8.6.3	OP 1.8	Proces be four sppe	nd in the ndix of his
OP 2.2.5	0P 2.5		0P 4.4.2	0P 4.7.5	0P 6.1.3	0P 6.2.1	OP 6.6		OP 8.2.10			SMAR	TPACK

Coordinate Operational Fires

The MOC is the operational focal point for the maritime environment and conducts force allocation and platformmission pairing. Planners allocate forces, ISR assets, and munitions to specific targets/aim points, develop force packages and assign missions to supporting forces.

Targeting personnel develop and prioritize recommendations for munitions delivery systems/assets for specific targets/aim points and may also specify delivery parameters, weapons fusing, axis of attack, and assessment criteria. The function of matching available forces, systems and ISR assets of other Services to the approved targets prioritized on the Joint Integrated Prioritized Target List (JIPTL) is an important part of force allocation. Distributed targeting conducted by subordinate maritime CDR staffs (and others, as appropriate) is a potential and likely capability, which could enable a faster, more nimble force allocation/ mission-platform pairing targeting process.

The FOPs planners use the force allocations and platform-mission pairings to resolve timing, sequencing, geospatial and deconfliction issues that may arise when these outputs are combined with other attack plans and the ATO. The final result is mission-timing data, which is incorporated into the Force Allocation. FOPS must develop tasking orders once the attack plan is approved. Tasking orders to the assigned combat, intelligence and support forces are prepared and issued, providing direction for tactical forces to conduct mission planning and execution.

Model Analysis Approach

- Divide model into data area, organizational swim lanes, and F2T2EA functional areas
- Develop a set of workflow processes, decisions, and data flows that accomplish the work of dynamic targeting across those swim lanes involving those data sources
- Develop a set of workflow "paths" through the model that accomplish the work of dynamic targeting for a given target type, e.g. TST, MDT, CCT, which was detected in either the Subordinate Tactical Command (STC) or Other Component (OC) swim lane
- Working with SMEs and Fleet Operators analyze each path for accuracy, timing, and resource utilization
- Develop and analyze the set of paths that represent approximately 80% of the likely paths to be taken during the actual conduct of dynamic targeting
- Later, apply the same approach to Deliberate Targeting as well as other non-targeting functional areas



Advantages to Use of Modeling

Modeling

- Facilitates the establishment of a process baseline or "as-is" version of an area to be investigated
- Allows structured "what-if" analysis to evaluate recommended changes to that baseline, e.g. how best to reduce kill chain time by a factor of X
- Supports incorporation of the best of "what-if" changes into a new "to-be" model and a new set of corresponding workflow processes and TTPs
- The "to-be" model can be used to evaluate the performance of the new workflow processes and TTPs during experimentation



Maritime Fires Dynamic Targeting Model



Develop Workflow Path Pattern Library



Develop Target Workflow Path at Execution Time



Targeting & Systems Performance Statistics

Notional MOC Fires Dashboard



MOC Fires Targeting Dashboard



Target & System Tracking Agent (TSTA)

- Monitors workflow products & systems
- Builds workflow path for each target
- Compares each path to Path Library
- Generates performance statistics

Targeting Statistics Systems Statistics

Web-enabled/Net-Centric Collaborative Planning & Execution Monitoring

Integrated Strategic Planning & Analysis Network (ISPAN) 2008 delivers:

□ Collaborative Information Environment (CIE): Web enabled Adaptive Planning, rapid distributed Course of Action (COA) development and Global Situational Awareness Tool supporting both contingency and crisis planners

- Enables rapid decision making by Senior Leaders
- Structured collaboration spaces for contingency and crisis action planning
- Strategic/Operational COA development, approval and execution
- Global views of live information feeds (from the authoritative source) for situational awareness and synchronization
- Sharing real-time information in across multiple security enclaves - thin client on SIPR & JWICS
- Facilitates planning collaboration for both kinetic & non-kinetic options with Interagency and Allied Planners
- Accessible at any connectivity point to the Global Information Grid (ground, air, or at sea)...access anywhere-any time





Notional Dashboard in Maritime CIE

naacaament	
Mission Analysis Tasks Title	Complet
Higher HQ Tasking	Yes
Intel Update	Yes
METOC Update	Yes
Proposed Mission Statement	Yes
Proposed Commanders Intent	•Yes
Specified, Implied, and Essential Tasks	No
Restraints, Constraints, Considerations	No
Assumptions/Facts	No
Initial CCIRs	No
Recommendations	No

	Pla	lanning		
COA Development Tasks Title	Completed	CDR Estimate Task Title		
Higher HQ Tasking	Yes	Higher HQ Tasking		
Mission Statement	Yes	Mission Statement		
Commander's Intent	No	Commander's Intent		
Intel Update	No	Battle Space Evaluat		
METOC Update	No	COA Summary		
Battlespace Evaluation	No	Collateral Damage E		
COA's	No	Potential Enemy Res		
GCC Inputs	No	Risk Assessment		
Recommendations	No	GCC Inputs		
		COA Comparision		
		Recommendations		

CDR Estimate Tasks	
	Complete
Higher HQ Tasking	Yes
Mission Statement	Yes
Commander's Intent	No
Battle Space Evaluation Updates	No
COA Summary	No
Collateral Damage Estimates	No
Potential Enemy Response	No
Risk Assessment	No
GCC Inputs	No
COA Comparision	No
Recommendations	No





APL

Dashboard Drill Down Example MOC Targeting Process Status: Green





Dashboard Drill Down Example MOC Targeting Process Status: Yellow



MOC Targeting Process Status Intermediate Drill Down Example

FIRES MDT/TST PROCESS STATUS





MOC Targeting Process Status Detailed Drill Down Example

Target	7
MOC Targeting Process	Time to Complete
	(Min.)
Coordinate ISR	10
Coordinate JFC/JFMCC Engagement Authorization	15



Summary

- Simulating the MOC core processes identifies opportunities for improving operational efficiency
 - Bottlenecks can be identified
 - Alternative TTPs can be vetted through simulation before being field tested
 - Potential technological impacts can be modeled and evaluated within the dynamic environment of the MOC
- Future instrumentation of the MOC systems and processes will provide data for improving the fidelity of the MOC simulation
- Future instrumentation of the MOC systems and processes will allow commanders to monitor the MOC's current operational capabilities and performance

