

Defense Information Systems Agency

Department of Defense

12th ICCRTS Adapting C2 to the 21st Century

Assessing the Operational Impact of New Network Centric Technology, Collaborative Replanning with User Defined Operational Picture: A Controlled Experiment with Warfighters (DRAFT Presentation I-131)

> Dr. Paul J. Hiniker Senior Operations Research DISA IA N2 5275 Leesburg PIKE Falls Church, VA 22041 Paul.Hiniker@disa.mil

> > & Dr. Elliot E. Entin Senior Scientist Aptima 12 Gill Street Woburn, MA 01801 Entin@Aptima.com



 To demonstrate and prove the differences in operational effectiveness, on NCW metrics, between current warfare practices using present Command and Control technologies, and new Network Centric Warfare practices using the combined DISA technologies of the User Defined Operational Picture (UDOP) with associated net enabled remote intelligence data bases of TMS/CWS red force tracker and SORTS blue force readiness data base and collaboration technologies instantiated by the Light Weight Collaborative White Board (LCW).





- Two Blue Ships (DDGs) and JFACC air protecting several oil platforms under attack by:
 - Twelve Red fast attack crafts, Zhuks and Boghammers
 - Analogous to the Basrah terrorist incident of Spring 2004
 - Pirated Aircraft















DISA C2 Baseline vs. NCW Technology

- In C2 baseline condition, all four military players share a COP view of the Gulf and communicate via internet relay chat. Intelligence products are obtained under current time lines (e.g. via hard-copy message, I&W briefings).
- In NCW condition, JFACC/AOC subscribe to air track and intel OpContext for air Community of Practice, and two Navy destroyers subscribe to maritime track and intel OpContext for maritime Community of Practice, the ashore CJTF operational planner subscribes to all these and SORTS blue force readiness data and all jointly collaborate over wide area network using common LCW with stated Commander's Intent forming a common Community of Action.

DISA UDOP Screen Shot of Operation Storm Petrel Scenario



DISA UDOP Collaborative Replanning Experimental Design





By facillitating the development of more accurate shared mental models among members of the warfighting CAS, use of collaborative UDOP with associated intel and blue force readiness schema causes:

(H1) increased Situational Awareness(SA);

- (H2) increased Shared Situational Awareness(SSA);

H1: UDOP Causes Increased SA --Example Data Presentation



DISA H3: UDOP Causes Increased Planning Quality—Example Data Presentation



DISA Summary of Significant Findings





Conclusions



Backups



Network Centric Warfare



- A promise of Network Centricity: Unprecedented operational tempo and situational awareness through networked connectivity
 - Ability to support collaborative environments



Dependent Measures



DISA JTLS Screenshot of Scenario

File New Imt Order Tools Help

Game Speed SIMDEBUG Magic Move Target Move LOGREP SITREP



Map Window



Terminal

Fri Feb 17



Confidence Intervals for Δ SA (Δx) from exp, for t-distribution $\Delta x - t_{\alpha} (s/\sqrt{n}) < \mu < \Delta x + t_{\alpha} (s/\sqrt{n}), \text{ where } s = \sqrt{(\Sigma x_i/n-1)}$

Confidence intervals for Δ SA (Δ x) from exp, for F-distribution ($x_{.1}-x_{.2}$) - $\sqrt{F_{\alpha}} s_w \sqrt{(2(k-1)/n)} < \mu < (x_{.1}-x_{.2}) + \sqrt{F_{\alpha}} s_w \sqrt{(2(k-1)/n)}$, where $s_w = \sqrt{(wss/k(n-1))}$ and wss = within groups sum of squares

Situational Awareness (SA) = Proportion of mission critical set of warfighting platforms correctly identified by a warfighter (Ground Truth cf. COG @ t_i)

Shared Situational Awareness = Proportion of overlap between pairs of COGs for complete warfighting team.

Speed of Command ($t_d = t_c + t_r + t_a + t_b$), where total speed of command is the sum of time to size up situation + time to plan + time to act + time to complete decision cycle with battle damage assessment

Combat Effectiveness = Loss/Exchange Ratio= red platform losses / (red + blue + neutral losses)



www.disa.mil