



Using JCIDS DoDAF Architecture Primitives to Assemble a Repository for Enterprise-wide Analysis and Decision-Making

12th ICCRTS, June 2007

Lawrence P. McCaskill Whitney, Bradley, & Brown 703 448 6081 ext 127 Imccaskill@wbbinc.com Ian T. Komorowski Whitney, Bradley, & Brown 703 448 6081 ext 265 ikomorowski@wbbinc.com Robert Hicks Whitney, Bradley, & Brown 703 448 6081 ext 243 rhicks@wbbinc.com





Overview

- WBB/Author Background
- Thesis
- Background
 - NR-KPP
 - Difficulties in producing Enterprise Architectures
- Enterprise Architecture via Amalgamation Methodology
- Governance
- Application to Other Fields/Related Work
- Conclusion





WBB Corporate Overview

• 210 Experienced Leaders

- Joint / Combat Operations
- Senior DoD Executives
- All Services
- Special Operations
- Coast Guard
- Founded in 1981 with offices in:
 - VA: Vienna, Hampton, VA Beach; CA: San Diego; MD: Patuxent River AL: Huntsville
- Expertise in:
 - Concept Development and Experimentation (Ops & Logistics)
 - Operations Analysis
 - Manpower Assessment / Analysis
 - Program Management / Acquisition / Requirements / Roadmap Development
 - Strategic Planning, Facilitation, Org Change Management, Decision Analysis
 - Training



Mission: To improve the operational and business performance of both government and commercial clients

© 2007 by Whitney, Bradley, & Brown, Inc. Reproduction by Permission Only.





WBB DoDAF/JCIDS Pedigree

- Prior and Current Architectures:
 - MV/CV-22, E-2C/D, F-22A, B-2, E-6, JLTV, Harpoon Blk III
 - MV/CV-22: Joint Staff/J-6I lauded as "best seen to date"
 - E-2C/D: JS/J-6I "You've done it again..."
 - F-22A, JLTV : currently in staffing
 - B-2, E6-B: finishing architectures prior to staffing
 - JFMCC/MOC, FORCEnet, JTF C2, GIG
 - Several other smaller efforts (ADS, JTE, P5CTS, RITPO, JIEDDO, and industry IR&D efforts)
- Initial cadre:
 - Provided Telelogic SA initialization files DoD-wide
 - Created Activity Based Methodology (ABM)
- Authors' Team Experience:
 - Program management, AF C2, Army C2, AF
 Communications, Systems/Software Engineering, M&S,
 Training







Thesis

- DoDAF Architecture Artifacts, developed to support NR-KPP's for CDD, CPD, and ISPs contain a plethora of data about individual systems
- This data can be very useful in support of analysis
 - Architectures describe system functionality, operational use, and data flow
 - M&S can provide operational laydown to exercise architecture precepts
 - Resultant information feeds back into information that can be reused by subsequent efforts
- Not proposing "the mother of all data stores"
 - Amalgamated architectures directed at specific analyses
 - Centralized repository can make use of resultant primitives and data generated as part of this process





Background: Primitive Definition

- Primitive (Encarta Dictionary):
 - ... a simple element of a computer program or graphic design from which larger programs or images can be constructed
 - ... something such as a concept, feature, or formula from which something else is derived
- Within this presentation, what we mean:
 - Discrete, composeable parts, which can be reused across multiple domains for architecture creation and in analysis:
 - Activities, System Functions, Nodes, Exchanges
 - Doctrine: Universal Joint Task List [UJTL], Service Task Lists
 - Lists: CSFL, COAL, NCOW RM, etc.
 - Numbers: throughput, bandwidth, etc. (e.g., Link 16)





Background:

Net-Ready Key Performance Parameter (NR - KPP)

- Net-Ready KPP required in:
 - Requirements documents (CJCSI 3170.01)
 - Capabilities Development Document (CDD)
 - Capabilities Production Document (CPD)
 - Acquisition Documents (CJCSI 6212.01 and DoDI 4630.8)
 - Information Support Plan (ISP)
- The NR-KPP is comprised of the following:
 - Compliance with:
 - Net-Centric Ops and Warfare (NCOW) Ref Model (RM)
 - Applicable Global Information Grid (GIG) Key Interface Profiles (KIPs)
 - DOD information assurance requirements (DITSCAP/DIACAP)
 - Integrated architecture; mandatory products:
 - OV-1, OV-2, OV-5, OV-6c, OV-7
 - SV-2, SV-4, SV-5, SV-6, SV-11
 - TV-1, TV-2





Background: Difficulties in Developing Enterprise Architectures



- Despite having a common taxonomy the above depicts what we've ended up with...
- Applies to Architectures as well...

*Used with the Permission of Jim Klossner





Background:

Difficulties in Developing Enterprise Architectures

- NR-KPP implementation a good idea, but...
 - JCIDS Process still "relatively new"
 - Steep learning curve regarding DoDAF Architectures
 - "Homework checking" organizations only checking internal consistency of the architecture
 - Internal consistency definitely an a priori requirement, but...
 - Ability to determine platform's effects on the enterprise should be the focus (i.e., what happens when it "plugs in...?")
 - Bottom line: Platform architectures' external touch points and their effects aren't being checked
 - Requires analysis across multiple architectures
 - One person's enterprise is another persons system: architectures need to be composeable across the enterprise
 - Facility/technology for accomplishment hasn't been there...





Background: Difficulties in Developing Enterprise Architectures

Need to Turn Unfriendly, Dirty Data into Friendly, Clean Data*

Air Mission Air Mission Air-Mission Air_Mission AirMission Airmission AirMsn	Ft Hood Ft. Hood Ft. Hood Ft Hood Fort Hood FTHOOD		AT & T A T & T ATT AT and T A.T. and T. A. T and T A. T. & T.	American Telephone and Telegraph Amer. Tel. and Tel. Bell Telephone The AT&T Company AT & T Corp AT & T Corp AT & T Corporation A.T. & T. Corporation
Air Mission	Fort Hood		AT&T	Authoritative Architecture Data
<u>Synonyms</u>				*Cleaning is a manual operation
different names mean same things ("location" and "loc', 'Target' and 'tgt') <u>Homonyms</u> same name means different things ('mission', 'tank')				

From "Activity Based Methodology © 2007 by Whitney, Bradley, & Brown, Inc. Reproduction by المعالية (Marshiney, Steve Ring, 2003)





Background:

Difficulties in Developing Enterprise Architectures



- Implies "mother of all data stores" and/or data model requirement
- Too impractical... we're suggesting something "in between..."
 - Ability to create architectures from smaller architectures to feed analysis
 - Made available to a wider audience upon completion/feedback of analysis
 - Primitives created can be nominated for use in larger reference architectures





Nominal Workflow







Easy, But Not Trivial Case...



- Not trivial... why? Need to reconcile (either via scrubbing or bridging):
 - Node Names
 - Activity Names
 - Excanges (names, numbers, underlying data)
- Automation assists, but definitely a manual process
 - One needs both Operational and "Geek" expertise
 - Most organizations unqualified in one or the other capacity...





More Difficult Case

• Combine 2 Architectures:

– A Joint Fires Architecture

- Only AF support to Army units is depicted,
- Army RSTA (Reconnaissance, Surveillance, and Target Acquisition) units being depicted as internal to the architecture because they are part of the joint fires chain-of-events (i.e., Enlisted Terminal Air Controllers within the UA are who initiates the Call for Fires).
- An Army Combined Arms Unit of Action Architecture: within which all facets of the UA are detailed





Joint Fires Architecture

(AF support to Army UA only)







Army Combined Arms UA Operational Arch (Notional)



© 2007 by Whitney, Bradley, & Brown, Inc. Reproduction by Permission Only.





Analysis



© 2007 by Whitney, Bradley, & Brown, Inc. Reproduction by Permission Only.





Analysis

- Joint Fires Arch:
 - Army activities "oversimplified"
 - But appropriate to scope of architecture
- UA Arch
 - Activities that match Joint Fires UA depiction widely dispersed in the architecture
 - Requires fuzzy search capability for analysis
 - Matching Activities/Exchanges
 - Unmatched Activities/Exchanges
- Tool should do the above, plus:
 - Facilitate merge of Architectures
 - Assist in the nomination of new primitives to other architectures
 - Assist in submitting arch to higher-level federated datastored (DARS, etc.)
 - Potential for expansion to include tailoring/filtering of information provided based on user profiles





Governance

- <u>Governance</u>^{*}: a method or system of government or management
- Current Problems
 - No codified governance structure for DARS, DoD Metadata Registry, NCES - instructions in work currently at NII
 - Observations:
 - COIs need to be centrally managed extreme inconsistency just within NCES, DARS, and DoD Metadata Registry
 - Tools are "user hostile ... "
 - They aren't designed with the end user (i.e., architects) in mind
 - Can't find information quickly
 - Architecture Federation doesn't allow for sharing of primitives

*The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition.





Governance

- What's needed? Carrot and Stick...
 - Architecture Administration and Stewardship (the Stick):
 - Codified and Enforced: SLAs, etc. to determine "who changes" their architectures as inconsistencies found
 - Recommendations:
 - Product centers (e.g., Navy: NAVAIR; AF: ASC; Army: Aviation and Missile Command) as place to keep intermediate "for analysis" architectures built by amalgamation of platform architectures
 - Primitives hosted at product centers, but submitted "up chain" to service architecture repositories and DARS, for hosting
 - Why would a program office "sign up" for this?





Governance

- What's needed? Carrot and Stick... (cont.)
 - –<u>Useable</u> Interfaces and Tools need to be developed (Carrot):
 - Architecture Seeding (drop-down based, by mission area) eases program office burden
 - Needs to provide architecture primitives as well as standards-based seed data available to SV-6
 - Example: platform = airplane, automatically prepares nodes, activities, and exchanges related to flying for download
 - Implications:
 - Interface, tools, and management structures need \$
 - SLA's determine where hosted, and who is the "adjudicator"
 - "Adjudicator" needs to know Ops and "Geeky Stuff..." (rare)
 - Tools must not be user hostile
 - User Hostile tools = class revolt (they won't be used...)





Application to Other Fields / Related Work



Obvious Applicability to seed M&S Efforts

- Arch provides primitives, data
- M&S provides analysis
- <u>Note</u>: need feedback mechanism for M&S as well as empirical observations for architectures





Application to Other Fields / Related Work

- M&S:
 - Steve Ring (MITRE): architecture feeds Bonaparte and off-theshelf comm model
 - SBA Toolbox: Swedish Defence Materiel Administration commissioned Front End AB to develop an M&S tool that interfaces with Arch tools
 - Data Interchange Formats (DIFs): Defense Modeling and Simulation Office – allows exchange of artifacts between simulations
- Governance: DoD Enterprise Architecture Federation Strategy (DRAFT)
- SYSCOM Architecture Development & Integration Environment (SADIE)
 - SPAWAR-managed Application Portal (Telelogic SA, DOORS, and Citrix MetaFrame Conferencing, MS Access, etc.)
 - Collaborative environments built on top of Enterprise Elements
 - Implementation of methodologies contained herein could achieve order-of-magnitude change in utility of using architectures to provide seed data for analysis





Conclusion

- Monolithic Architecture/Data Standardization efforts have failed due to implementation realities
- Enterprise architectures assembled from JCIDS "platform architectures" a viable alternative
 - Provide seed data for analyses
 - Provides primitives and reusable data for subsequent efforts
 - Require methodology and tools tools emerging that can assist
- Research must be applied to this area; when viable solutions found:
 - Implementation must be codified in executable governance (DoDI's, CJCSI's, SLAs, etc.)
 - User friendly tools will facilitate development
 - Regardless of solution, the "tool driver" must have expertise in both Operations and Engineering/Architecture disciplines